Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP Indian Journal of Immunology and Respiratory Medicine

Journal homepage: https://www.ijirm.org/

Short Communication

Reverse tripod sign: A novel clinical observation in respiratory distress

Yuvarajan Sivagnaname[1]*, Praveen Radhakrishnan[1], Cherukumalli Navya[1], Sagana Ravikumar[1], Durga K[2]

[1]Dept. of Respiratory Medicine, Sri Manakula Vinayagar Medical College and Hospital, Pondicherry University, Madagadipet, Puducherry, India
[2]Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sri Lakshmi Narayana Institute of Medical Science Medical College & Hospital, Villianur, Puducherry, India

Abstract

The tripod position is a well-recognised clinical sign in respiratory distress, commonly associated with obstructive airway diseases. This article introduces a novel postural variant—the Reverse Tripod Sign—characterised by patients leaning backwards or sitting upright with arms extended behind or laterally, bracing on a surface to relieve dyspnea. This posture was observed in at least 48 patients over one year, across a spectrum of chronic lung and neuromuscular conditions including COPD, pulmonary hypertension, interstitial lung disease, diaphragmatic weakness, and post-tuberculous sequelae. Recognising this sign may aid in bedside identification of severe disease and guide further diagnostic evaluation.

Keywords: Reverse tripod sign, Dyspnea posture, Pulmonary hypertension, Interstitial lung disease, Orthopnea, Diaphragmatic dysfunction.

Received: 09-02-2025; **Accepted:** 31-03-2025; **Available Online**: 17-07-2025

1. Introduction

The tripod position is a classic and widely acknowledged posture of respiratory distress, where patients lean forward with hands braced on their knees or a surface to recruit accessory muscles of respiration.[1] This posture is particularly prominent in obstructive airway diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

A distinct and previously unreported variation of this posture has been observed in clinical practice—a backward-leaning or upright position, where patients use posterior support to alleviate breathlessness. This variant, observed in patients with diverse cardiopulmonary and neuromuscular conditions, is herein described as the Reverse Tripod Sign.

2. Definition of the Sign

2.1. The reverse tripod sign is defined as:

A compensatory postural adaptation in which a dyspneic patient braces their arms behind or laterally on a chair-back, bedframe, or wall, while maintaining an upright or posteriorly extended torso.

Unlike the classic tripod posture, this position offers relief for patients unable to bend forward due to orthopnea, abdominal pressure, musculoskeletal limitations, or spinal deformities.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this sign is being reported for the first time in the medical literature.

3. Clinical Observation

This sign was identified through prospective clinical observation in the respiratory ward and ICU at SMVMCH over a period of 12 months. The Reverse Tripod Sign was noted in at least 48 patients with chronic or progressive respiratory distress.

3.1. Conditions noted to exhibit this posture

1. Severe COPD with lung hyperinflation
2. Pulmonary hypertension and cor pulmonale

*Corresponding author: S Yuvarajan
Email: nsivagnaname@yahoo.com

http://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijirm.2025.018

3. Post-tuberculous fibrotic lung disease
4. End-stage interstitial lung diseases (e.g., IPF, CTD-ILDs)
5. Diaphragmatic paralysis or eventration
6. Severe kyphoscoliosis and ankylosing spondylitis
7. Massive pleural effusion or ascites
8. Neuromuscular diseases causing respiratory failure

Note: This posture was spontaneously adopted, not elicited, typically at rest or during position transitions (e.g., from lying to sitting). It is most commonly observed in patients with chronic dyspnea rather than acute neurological compromise.

4. Physiological Basis

Several mechanisms may explain the effectiveness of this compensatory posture:

1. Thoracic stabilization: Bracing the arms behind stabilizes the chest wall, aiding accessory muscle use, similar to the classic tripod position.
2. Enhanced diaphragmatic movement: Upright or slightly extended posture reduces abdominal pressure, facilitating diaphragmatic descent and improving ventilation, especially in hyperinflated lungs.[2,3,7]
3. Orthopnea mitigation: Forward flexion may worsen dyspnea in patients with right heart failure or fluid overload. A backward posture reduces venous return and abdominal impedance.[4,8,9]
4. Spinal constraints: Elderly patients or those with rigid spines (e.g., kyphosis, spondylitis) may not tolerate forward bending.
5. Reduced abdominal pressure transmission: Leaning back reduces upward pressure on the diaphragm in patients with ascites, obesity, or pregnancy.[10]
6. Neuromuscular adaptation: Patients with weak respiratory or postural muscles may adopt this position to reduce core muscle strain.[5]
7. Chronic psychomotor adaptation: Over time, some patients may learn to assume this posture to cope with persistent dyspnea.

This posture may thus reflect underlying diaphragmatic dysfunction, respiratory muscle fatigue, or right heart failure.

5. Clinical Relevance

1. Easily observed during clinical examination without specialized tools.
2. Diagnostic Clues: Its presence may suggest:
   a. Advanced pulmonary hypertension (evaluate with echocardiogram)
   b. Severe restrictive ventilatory defect (PFTs, HRCT)
   c. Diaphragmatic weakness (sniff test, ultrasound)
   d. Fibrotic or post-infective lung sequelae
3. Functional Implication:
   a. Often associated with mMRC grade ≥3 dyspnea
   b. May predict poor performance on 6-minute walk test (<150m)
   c. Indicates reduced functional reserve and potential need for ventilatory support
   d. Seen in patients with higher risk of hospitalization or exacerbation[8]
4. Educational Utility: Highlights a subtle but informative bedside sign for teaching and clinical vigilance.

Figure 1: a,b: Patient demonstrating the reverse tripod sign with backwards bracing for dyspnea relief.

6. The Limitations

This study has several important limitations:

1. Single-centre, observational design: The findings are based on observations from a single clinical centre over one year, which may limit the generalizability of the results to other settings or populations.
2. Absence of control group and quantitative analysis: The study does not include a comparison group or utilize statistical methods to assess the prevalence, sensitivity, or specificity of the Reverse Tripod Sign across different disease states.
3. Potential observer bias: As the sign was identified and recorded by a single clinician, there is a risk of subjective interpretation and observer bias, which could influence the consistency and reproducibility of the findings.
4. Limited demographic and clinical data: Detailed patient characteristics, including age, sex, comorbidities, and severity of underlying disease, were not systematically collected or analysed, restricting the ability to identify confounding factors or subgroups.
5. Lack of prospective validation: The diagnostic and prognostic utility of the Reverse Tripod Sign has not been prospectively evaluated. Further multicentric studies are necessary to determine its clinical value, reproducibility, and impact on patient outcomes.
6. Exclusion of acute and pediatric populations: The sign was primarily observed in chronic adult respiratory conditions. Its presence and significance in acute respiratory distress or pediatric populations remain unknown.

These limitations highlight the need for larger, prospective, and controlled studies to validate the Reverse Tripod Sign and clarify its role in clinical practice.

7. Conclusion

The Reverse Tripod Sign is a novel clinical observation that may offer diagnostic and functional insights in patients with chronic cardiopulmonary or neuromuscular disease. Unlike the classic tripod posture, this reverse variant may be the only feasible compensatory position in individuals with orthopnea, diaphragmatic dysfunction, or spinal rigidity. Recognising this sign at the bedside can facilitate early identification of severe disease and guide appropriate evaluation.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

9. Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the postgraduate residents of the Department of Respiratory Medicine, SMVMCH, for their support in clinical observations and case documentation related to the Reverse Tripod Sign.

References

1. Campbell EJM. The role of the accessory muscles in breathing. *Clin Sci*. 1955;14(3):445–52.
2. O'Donnell DE, Laveneziana P. Mechanical factors contributing to dyspnea in chronic lung disease. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2006;15(100):61–7.
3. Yamaguti WP, Paulin E, Shibao S, Stelmach R, Cukier A, Carvalho CRF, et al. Diaphragmatic mobility and chest wall configuration in COPD. *Respir Med*. 2007;101(9):2113–18.
4. Vonk-Noordegraaf A, Haddad F, Chin KM, Forfia PR, Kawut SM, Lumens J, et al. Right heart adaptation to pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62(25 Suppl):D22–D33.
5. Hardin KA, Bergofsky EH. Respiratory muscle weakness and failure in neuromuscular disease. *Clin Chest Med*. 2001;22(4):689–704.
6. Holland AE, Hill CJ, Rasekaba T, Lee A, Naughton MT, McDonald CF. Updating the minimal important difference for six-minute walk distance in COPD. *Thorax*. 2010;65(7):544–8.
7. Selickman J, Marini JJ. Chest wall loading in the ICU: pushes, weights, and positions. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2022;12(1):103.
8. Thibodeau JT, Turer AT, Gualano SK, Ayers CR, Velez-Martinez M, Mishkin JD, et al. Bendopnea: a novel symptom of advanced heart failure. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2014;2(1):24–31.
9. Takata M, Wise RA, Robotham JL. Effects of abdominal pressure on venous return. *J Appl Physiol*. 1990;69(6):1961–72.
10. Cheatham ML, Malbrain MLNG. What is normal intra-abdominal pressure and how is it affected by posture? *Intensive Care Med*. 2009;35(5):896–908.

Cite this article: Sivagnaname Y, Radhakrishnan P, Navya C, Ravikumar S, Durga K. Reverse tripod sign: A novel clinical observation in respiratory distress. *IP Indian J Immunol Respir Med*. 2025;10(2):98-100.